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#### Abstract

Abstract nonlinear operator equations of the type


$$
f(u) \equiv L u+N u=0, u \in D(L)
$$


#### Abstract

is considered, where $L$ is a densely defined closed linear operator from a Banach space $X$ to an another Banach space $Y$ and $N$ a densely defined nonlinear operator from $X$ to $Y$. A method is presented for numerical verification and inclusion of solutions for this equation.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with abstract nonlinear operator equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u) \equiv L u+N u=0, u \in D(L) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a densely defined closed linear operator from a Banach space $X$ to $Y$, and $N$ a densely defined nonlinear operator from $X$ to $Y$. This type of equations occur in a variety of situations in both pure and applied sciences. Eq. (1) is sometimes called a coincidence equation because one wants to find a point $u$ for which the images under L and -N coincide. The purpose of the paper is to present a method for numerical verification and inclusion of solutions for Eq. (1). In the following, the domain of the definition of $L, D(L)$, and that for $N, D(N)$ is assumed to be Banach spaces satisfying $D(L) \subset D(N)$. For the sake of simplicity we will denote $D=D(L)$ and $B=D(N)$. The norms of $D, B, X$, and $Y$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{D},\|\cdot\|_{B},\|\cdot\|_{X}$, and $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$, respectively.

In 1965, Urabe[13] has presented a method for numerical verification and inclusion of solutions for Eq. (1) for the case of $L=d / d t$. Then, he[14],[12] and his coresearchers[9],[10], [11] presented various results of numerical verifications for periodic and quasi-periodic solutions of ordinary differential equations. Urabe's method is based on his convergence theorem of a simplified Newton method for operator equations on suitable functional spaces. From the numerical analytic point of view, to apply Urabe's theorem, to obtain an estimate for the operator norm of the inverse of a certain linear operator becomes a key point. Urabe has presented a method in which this can be obtained by numerically obtaining a certain fundamental matrix. In 1972, Bouc[1] has shown that this kind of estimate can be accomplished without numerical integration by using functional analytic techniques for the case of $L=d / d t$. This paper is an extension of Urabe-Bouc's approach. That is, in this paper, we will treat
the case in which $L$ is a general closed operator $L$. By this, not only ordinary differential equations but also partial differential equations can be treated. Since mathematically rigorous bounds is required in obtaining such estimate, we have developed a rational arithmetic library. In this system using a continued fraction expansion of rational numbers, rounding errors during the numerical computations are completely taken into account.

Historically, several authors has presented different ways to use computers in proving the existence of solutions for nonlinear operator equations. Kantrovich[5] has presented a convergence theorem of Newton method on functional spaces and treated various kinds of functional equations. Kedem[6] has utilized this Newton-Kantrovich theorem to numerically prove the existence of solutions for certain two-point boundary problems. Cesari[2] presented also a method based on the alternative method. Collatz[3] and have presented methods based on the monotonicity or inverse-positivity. More recently, Kaucher-Miranker[4] presented a method using bases expansions. Nakao[7] has presented an infinite dimensional interval method and treated not only ordinary differential equations but also partial differential equations of various types. Plum[8] has also presented a method based on eigenvalue estimations. Our method of estimating the operator norm of a certain linear operator is completely different from these method.

## 2 Graph Norm Estimate

We consider here the graph norm introduced by $L$ in $D(L)$ :

$$
\|u\|_{L}=\|u\|_{X}+\|L u\|_{Y} \quad \text { for } u \in D(L)
$$

Since $L$ is closed, $D(L)$ becomes a Banach space with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{L}$. We denote this Banach space $D_{L}$. We assume that $N$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable as a map from $D_{L}$ to $Y$. For $u \in D_{L}$, we assume that the first derivative of $N, D_{u} N(u)=S(u)$, can be extended to a bounded linear map from $X$ to $Y$. In order to numerically verify existence of solutions for Eq. (1), we introduce now a numerical framework. Let $E$ and $F$ be finite dimensional subspaces of $D_{L}$ and $Y$, respectively, with $\operatorname{dim} E=\operatorname{dim} F$. Let $P$ and $Q$ be projections from $D_{L}$ to $E$ and $Y$ to $F$, respectively. We assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u-P u\|_{X} \leq c\|L u\|_{Y} \quad \text { for } \forall u \in D_{L}  \tag{2}\\
Q L u=Q L P u \quad \text { for } \forall u \in D_{L} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Q\|_{L(Y, Y)} \leq 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Here, $c$ is a constant independent of $u$. It should be noted here that for a choice of $P$, we usually suppose a situation in which the constant $c$ can be chosen arbitrary small provided that $\operatorname{dim} E$ becomes sufficiently large.

Let $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots, e_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{m}\right\}$ be bases of $E$ and $F$, respectively. Then any element $e \in E$ and $v \in F$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\sum_{n=0}^{m} c_{n}(e) e_{n} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sum_{n=0}^{m} d_{n}(v) v_{n} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Here, $c_{n}(e)$ 's and $d_{n}(v)$ 's are suitable linear functionals. Thus maps $A_{m}: E \rightarrow E_{m}$ and $B_{m}: F \rightarrow F_{m}$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m} e=\left(c_{1}(e), c_{2}(e), \cdots, c_{m}(e)\right)^{t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{m} v=\left(d_{1}(v), d_{2}(v), \cdots, d_{m}(v)\right)^{t}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Here, the superscript $t$ denotes the transposition of vectors,

$$
E_{m}=\left\{\left(c_{1}(e), c_{2}(e), \cdots, c_{m}(e)\right)^{t} \mid e \in E\right\}
$$

and

$$
F_{m}=\left\{\left(d_{1}(v), d_{2}(v), \cdots, d_{m}(v)\right)^{t} \mid v \in F\right\}
$$

For $c=\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{m}\right)^{t}$ and $d=\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, \cdots, d_{m}\right)^{t}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|c\|_{E_{m}}=\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{m} c_{n} e_{n}\right\|_{X} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|d\|_{F_{m}}=\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{m} d_{n} v_{n}\right\|_{Y} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $\tilde{u} \in E$ be an approximate solution of Eq. (1). Then, a linear transformation $J: E_{m} \rightarrow F_{m}$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J A_{m} P y=B_{m}\{Q(L P y+S(\tilde{u})) P y\} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $E_{m}$ and $F_{m}$ are finite dimensional vector spaces, $J$ can be considered as a matrix. If $\operatorname{det} J \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P y\|_{Y} \leq M\|Q(L P y+S(\tilde{u})) P y\|_{X} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $M$ is a constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|J^{-1}\right\|_{L\left(F_{m}, E_{m}\right)} \leq M \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, one of our main results can be stated as follows:
Theorem 1 Assume that $\operatorname{det} J \neq 0$. Let $K$ and $M$ be constants such that $\|S(\tilde{u})\|_{L(X, Y)} \leq K$ and $\left\|J^{-1}\right\|_{L\left(F_{m}, E_{m}\right)} \leq M$. If $c K(1+M K)<1$, then the map $G(\tilde{u})=L+S(\tilde{u}): D_{L} \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the following estimate for any $y \in D_{L}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{L} \leq C\|G(\tilde{u}) y\|_{Y} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
C=\frac{(1+c)(1+M K)+M}{1-c K(1+M K)}
$$

Now we define a residual

$$
r=\|f(\tilde{u})\|_{Y} .
$$

Moreover, we assume that

$$
\|u\|_{B} \leq d\|u\|_{L}
$$

holds for any $u \in D_{L}$, where $d$ is constant independent of $u$. Let $U_{p}=B(\tilde{u}, p)$ be a closed ball in $D_{L}$ centered at $\tilde{u}$ with a radius $p$. Here, if we assume that $S(u)=D_{u} N(u): D_{L} \rightarrow Y$ is locally Lipschitz continuous:

$$
\|S(u)-S(v)\|_{L\left(D_{L}, Y\right)}=a_{U}\|u-v\|_{L} \quad \text { for } u, v \in U \subset D_{L}
$$

Then we have
Theorem 2 We assume that $G(\tilde{u}): D_{L} \rightarrow Y$ has an inverse and $c K(1+$ $M K)<1$ holds. Let $a=a_{U_{p}}$. If $p$ satisfies

1. $2 C r \leq p$
and
2. $a C p<1$,
then there exists a solution $u^{*}$ of $E q$. (1) uniquely in $U_{p}$ and satisfies

$$
\left\|u^{*}-\tilde{u}\right\|_{L} \leq 2 C r .
$$

Then, we also have

$$
\left\|u^{*}-\tilde{u}\right\|_{B} \leq 2 d C r
$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\tilde{u}) x=L x+S(\tilde{u}) x, \quad G(\tilde{u}): D_{L} \rightarrow Y \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in D_{L}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|x\|_{X} & \leq\|x-P x\|_{X}+\|P x\|_{X} \\
& \leq c\|L x\|_{Y}+\|P x\|_{X} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of (15), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|L x\|_{Y} & \leq\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+\|S(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y} \\
& \leq\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K\|x-P x+P x\|_{X} \\
& \leq\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K c\|L x\|_{Y}+K\|P x\|_{X} . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover from (15) and (3), we have

$$
Q G(\tilde{u}) x=Q L x+Q S(\tilde{u})(x-P x+P x)=Q L P x+Q S(\tilde{u})(x-P x+P x) .
$$

Here, if we put

$$
s=Q L P x+Q S(\tilde{u}) P x=Q[G(\tilde{u}) x-S(\tilde{u})(x-P x)],
$$

using (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|s\|_{Y} \leq\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K c\|L x\|_{Y} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P x\|_{X} \leq M\|s\|_{Y} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (18) into (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|L x\|_{Y} & \leq\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K c\|L x\|_{Y}+M K\|s\| \\
& \leq\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K c\|L x\|_{Y}+M K\left(\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K c\|L x\|_{Y}\right) \\
& =(1+M K)\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K c(1+M K)\|L x\|_{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|L x\|_{Y} \leq \frac{1+M K}{1-c K(1+M K)}\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, substituting (19) and (18) into (16), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|x\|_{X} & \leq c\|L x\|_{Y}+M\|s\|_{Y} \\
& \leq c\|L x\|_{Y}+M\left(\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}+K c\|L x\|_{Y}\right) \\
& =c(1+M K)\|L x\|_{Y}+M\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and (20), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{X} \leq \frac{c(1+M K)+M}{1-c K(1+M K)}\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up the above-mentioned discussions, we finally have@@@@@@@

$$
\|x\|_{L}=\|x\|_{X}+\|L x\|_{Y} \leq \frac{(1+c)(1+M K)+M}{1-c K(1+M K)}\|G(\tilde{u}) x\|_{Y}
$$

provided that $c K(1+M K)<1$. This proves Theorem 1. QED

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2

We shall prove Theorem 2 by showing that the operator $T$ defined in the below becomes a contraction mapping on $U_{p}$ under the conditions of Theorem 2. Using $G(\tilde{u})^{-1}$, let us define an operator $T: D^{\prime} \rightarrow D^{\prime}$ by

$$
T u=G(\tilde{u})^{-1}(S(\tilde{u}) u-N u)
$$

Since $G(\tilde{u})^{-1}$ exists, a fixed point of $T$ is a solution of Eq. (1). In the first place, we shall show that $T U_{p} \subset U_{p}$. For any $u \in U_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\| T u & -\tilde{u}\left\|_{L}=\right\| G(\tilde{u})^{-1}(S(\tilde{u}) u-N u)-\tilde{u} \|_{L} \\
& =\left\|G^{-1}(S(\tilde{u}) u-N u-G(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u})\right\|_{L} \\
& \leq C\|S(\tilde{u}) u-N u-G(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}\|_{Y} \\
& =C\|S(\tilde{u}) u-N u-L \tilde{u}-S(\tilde{u}) \tilde{u}\|_{Y} \\
& =C\left(\|-N u+N \tilde{u}-S(\tilde{u})(\tilde{u}-u)\|_{Y}+r\right) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

From the following estimate,

$$
\begin{gathered}
N u=N \tilde{u}+S(\tilde{u})(u-\tilde{u})+R, \\
\|R\|_{Y} \leq \frac{a}{2}\|u-\tilde{u}\|_{Y},
\end{gathered}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| T u-\tilde{u} \| \\
& \leq C\left(\frac{a}{2}\|u-\tilde{u}\|_{Y}^{2}+r\right)  \tag{23}\\
& \leq C\left(\frac{a}{2} p^{2}+r\right)<p
\end{align*}
$$

This implies $T U_{p} \subset U_{p}$.
We now show that $T$ is contractive on $U_{p}$. For for $u, v \in U_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| T u & -T v\left\|_{L} \leq\right\| G(\tilde{u})^{-1}(S(v) u-N u)-G(\tilde{u})^{-1}(S(v) v-N v) \|_{L} \\
& =\left\|G(\tilde{u})^{-1}(S(v)(u-v)-(N u-N v))\right\|_{L} \\
& \leq C\|S(v)(u-v)-(N u-N v)\|_{Y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the formula

$$
N u-N v=\int_{0}^{1} S(u+t(v-u))(v-u) d t
$$

it is seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|S(v)(u-v)-(N u-N v)\|_{Y} \\
& \quad=\left\|\int_{0}^{1} S(u+t(v-u))-S(v)(v-u) d t\right\|_{Y} \\
& \quad \leq \int_{0}^{1} \sup _{t}\|S(u+t(v-u))-S(v)(v-u)\|_{L\left(D^{\prime}, Y\right)}\|v-u\|_{L} d t \\
& \quad=a\|v-u\|_{L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u-T v\|_{L} \leq a C p\|v-u\|_{L} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $T$ is contractive on $U_{p}$. Thus it follows that there exists unique a fixed point $u^{*}$ of $T$ in $U_{p}$. From the relation

$$
\left\|u^{*}-\tilde{u}\right\|_{L} \leq\left(\frac{a}{2} C p\left\|T u^{*}-\tilde{u}\right\|_{L}+C r\right)
$$

we obtain an error bound

$$
\left\|u^{*}-\tilde{u}\right\|_{L} \leq 2 C r .
$$

This completes the proof. $Q E D$

## 5 Applications to Ordinary Differential Equations

In this section, taking a simple example, we study an application of the results in the previous sections to obtain a periodic solution of ordinary differential equations. For the sake of simplicity, we consider the following Duffing equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+A x^{\prime}+B x^{3}-C \cos t=0, t \in J=(0,2 \pi) .
$$

Let $L_{2}(0,2 \pi)$ and $H_{2}(0,2 \pi)$ be the square integrable function's Lesbesgue space and the Sobolev space with norms

$$
\|x\|_{2}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|x(t)|^{2} d t}
$$

and

$$
\|x\|_{H_{2}}=\sqrt{\|x\|^{2}+\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}+\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|^{2}}
$$

respectively. Let $X=Y=L_{2}(0, \pi)$. Let us define operators $L: D(L)=\{x \mid x \in$ $X, x(t)=-x(t+\pi)\} \rightarrow L_{2}(0,2 \pi)$ and $N: D(L) \rightarrow L_{2}(0,2 \pi)$ by

$$
L x=x^{\prime \prime}+A x^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
N x=B x^{3}-C \cos t,
$$

respectively. Then, the graph norm associated with $L$ is defined as

$$
\|x\|_{L}=\|x\|_{2}+\left\|x^{\prime \prime}+A x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}
$$

We have
Lemma 1 For $x \in D(L)$, we can expand $x$ as

$$
x=\sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n} \cos (2 n-1) t+b_{n} \sin (2 n-1) t\right)
$$

If we define a projection operator $P_{m}: D(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 m+1}$ by

$$
P_{m} x=\sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{m}\left(a_{n} \cos (2 n-1) t+b_{n} \sin (2 n-1) t\right)
$$

we have

$$
\left\|x-P_{m} x\right\|_{2} \leq \frac{1}{(2 m+1)^{2}} \sqrt{1+\frac{A^{2}}{(2 m+1)^{2}}}\|L x\|_{2}
$$

## Proof

Let

$$
x^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{\prime} \cos (2 n-1) t+b_{n}^{\prime} \sin (2 n-1) t\right)
$$

and

$$
x^{\prime \prime}=\sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime} \cos (2 n-1) t+b_{n}^{\prime \prime} \sin (2 n-1) t\right)
$$

Then, we have

$$
a_{n}^{\prime}=(2 n-1) b_{n}, b_{n}^{\prime}=-(2 n-1) a_{n}
$$

and

$$
a_{n}^{\prime \prime}=-(2 n-1)^{2} a_{n}, b_{n}^{\prime \prime}=-(2 n-1)^{2} b_{n}
$$

Thus if we put

$$
x^{\prime \prime}+A x^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{a}_{n} \cos (2 n-1) t+\tilde{b}_{n} \sin (2 n-1) t\right),
$$

we have

$$
\tilde{a}_{n}=-(2 n-1)^{2} a_{n}+(2 n-1) A b_{n}, \tilde{b}_{n}=-(2 n-1) A a_{n}-(2 n-1)^{2} b_{n},
$$

or

$$
a_{n}=\frac{-(2 n-1)^{2} \tilde{a}_{n}-(2 n-1) A \tilde{b}_{n}}{(2 n-1)^{4}+(2 n-1)^{2} A^{2}}
$$

and

$$
b_{n}=\frac{-(2 n-1)^{2} \tilde{b}_{n}+(2 n-1) A \tilde{a}_{n}}{(2 n-1)^{4}+(2 n-1)^{2} A^{2}}
$$

Let us now consider $\left\|x-P_{m} x\right\|_{2}^{2}$. From the Perseval equality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\| x & -P_{m} x \|_{2}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n-1)^{4}+(2 n-1)^{2} A^{2}}{(2 n-1)^{4}+A^{2}(2 n-1)^{2}}\left(\tilde{a}_{n}^{2}+\tilde{b}_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(2 m+1)^{4}}\left(1+\frac{A^{2}}{(2 m+1)^{2}}\right)\|L x\|_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have the desired inequality.
Moreover, we have
Lemma 2 For $x \in H_{2}(0,2 \pi)$, we have

$$
b\|x\|_{L} \leq\|x\|_{H_{2}} \leq b^{\prime}\|x\|_{L}
$$

where

$$
b=\frac{1}{\max (1, A)}
$$

and

$$
b^{\prime}=\sqrt{2\left(1+A^{2}\right)} .
$$

## Proof

From the Perseval equality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(a_{n}^{\prime \prime 2}+b_{n}^{\prime \prime 2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 n-1)^{4}\left((2 n-1)^{4}+A^{2}(2 n-1)^{2}\right)}{\left[(2 n-1)^{4}+A^{2}(2 n-1)^{2}\right]^{2}}\left(\tilde{a}_{n}^{2}+\tilde{b}_{n}^{2}\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(1+A^{2}\right)\|L x\|_{2}^{2} . \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq\left(1+A^{2}\right)\|L x\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|x\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq\|x\|_{2}^{2}+2\left(1+A^{2}\right)\|L x\|_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad \leq 2\left(1+A^{2}\right)\|L x\|_{L}^{2}, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the right half of the desired inequalities.
On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|x\|_{L} \\
&=\|x\|_{2}+\left\|x^{\prime \prime}+A x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq\|x\|_{2}+\left\|x^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2}+A\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
& \quad \leq \max (1, A)\|x\|_{H_{2}} . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the desired result.
Using these result, we have obtained an approximate $2 \pi$-periodic solution of the Duffing equation with $\mathrm{A}=0.1, \mathrm{~B}=1$, and $\mathrm{C}=0.4464$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(t)= & 1.27737 \cos t+0.38447 \sin t \\
& +0.05362 \cos 3 t+0.0628 \sin 3 t \\
& +0.00061 \cos 5 t+0.00483 \sin 5 t \\
& +0.00013 \cos 7 t+0.00000088 \sin 9 t \\
& +0.0000010 \cos 11 t+0.00000004 \sin 11 t \\
& +0.00000005 \cos 13 t+0.00000003 \sin 13 t .
\end{aligned}
$$

For this approximate solution, as a result of estimation, we have

$$
M=3.118, r=0.000000675, K=6.8682, a=10.41 .
$$

From these constants, we have

$$
C=81.17823, p=0.00011, a C p \leq 0.0925
$$
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